

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,499	08/01/2001	Taku Watanabe	NAKI-BP62	4746
21611 7	7590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER LLP			VINCENT, SEAN E	
1920 MAIN ST SUITE 1200	FREET	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614-7230			1731	

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2 2 4							
	Application No.	Appl	licant(s)				
	09/890,499	WAT	ANABE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	Jnit				
	Sean E Vincent	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailite earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, howe bly within the statutory mini I will apply and will expire S te, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail become ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ing date of this communication. S. C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application	on has been received					
Attachment(s)	,	- 43					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO- Notice of Informal Patent / Other:					

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Aoki et al (EP 957 502 A2). Aoki et al taught image display apparatus having a PDP and driving circuitry said apparatus appearing to be identical to the claimed apparatus. The method on which the product claims depend does not appear to produce a different structure or properties in the finished product.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/890,499

Art Unit: 1731

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al (JP 11-106237 using US 6010973 as an English language equivalent) in view of Kopatz et al (US 4715878).
- Watanabe et al taught methods of making PDPs by forming electrodes on the surface of a substrate, grinding and classifying glass material, applying the glass material powder and a binder as a green sheet to the substrate with the electrodes formed thereon and firing to remove the binder and form a dielectric glass layer (see abstract, figures, col. 1, lines 25-29; col. 2, lines 14-26; col. 3, lines 25-35; col. 4, lines 31-41; col. 7, lines 29-42; examples 1-11 and col. 9, lines 11-21). Watanabe et al also demonstrated that the glass particle size was preferably less than half the finished thickness of the dielectric glass layer. Watanabe et al did not teach spheroidizing the glass particles.
- 7. Kopatz et al taught a known process for preparing glass powders having controlled particle size range and distribution for different purposes using gas plasma jet heating (see col. 3, line 55 to col. 4, line 20). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the glass powder preparation steps of Kopatz et al in Watanabe et al because Kopatz et al taught that spherical glass particles of 5µm diameter could be reliably produced and that such particles were useful in paste film formation. Specifically, Kopatz et al states that "Spherical glass particles can aid in the fluidity of the paste and the ease with which the binders carriers can be removed." (sic)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/890,499

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited to further show the state of the art.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E Vincent whose telephone number is 703-305-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00).
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-398-0651.

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

S Vincent

Page 4